The 7th International Symposium on Operations Research and Its Applications (ISORA'08) Lijiang, China, October 31–Novemver 3, 2008 Copyright © 2008 ORSC & APORC, pp. 325–329

# Acyclic Edge Colorings of Planar Graphs Without Short Cycles\*

Xiang-Yong Sun<sup>1</sup> Jian-Liang Wu<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Statistics and Math., Shandong Economic University, Jinan, 250014, China <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, China

**Abstract** A proper edge coloring of a graph *G* is called acyclic if there is no 2-colored cycle in *G*. The acyclic edge chromatic number of *G* is the least number of colors in an acyclic edge coloring of *G*. In this paper, it is proved that the acyclic edge chromatic number of a planar graph *G* is at most  $\Delta(G) + 2$  if *G* contains no *i*-cycles,  $4 \le i \le 8$ , or any two 3-cycles are not incident with a common vertex and *G* contains no *i*-cycles, i = 4 and 5.

Keywords acyclic edge coloring; girth; planar graph; cycle.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are finite, simple and undirected. Let G = (V, E) be a graph, where V(G) and E(G) are the vertex set and the edge set of *G*, respectively. If  $uv \in E(G)$ , then *u* is said to be the *neighbor* of *v*, and N(v) is the set of neighbors of *v*. The *degree* d(v) = |N(v)|,  $\delta(G)$  is the minimum degree and  $\Delta(G)$  is the maximum degree of *G*. A *k*-vertex is a vertex of degree *k*. Similarly, a  $(\geq k)$ -vertex is a vertex of degree at least *k*, and a  $(\leq k)$ -vertex is of degree at most *k*.

A proper k-edge-coloring of a graph G is a mapping  $\phi : E(G) \to \{1, 2, \dots k\}$  such that no two adjacent edges receive the same color. A proper edge coloring of a graph G is called *acyclic* if there is no 2-colored cycle in G. The *acyclic edge chromatic number*  $\chi'_a(G)$  is the smallest integer k such that G has an acyclic edge coloring. The acyclic edge coloring was introduced by Alon et al. in [1], and they proved that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq 64\Delta(G)$ . Molloy and Reed [5] showed that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq 16\Delta(G)$  using the same method. In 2001, Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [2] gave the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.**  $\Delta(G) \le \chi'_a(G) \le \Delta(G) + 2$  for all graphs *G*.

They proved in the same paper that this conjecture was true for almost all  $\Delta(G)$ -regular graphs G, and all  $\Delta(G)$ -regular graphs, whose girth (length of shortest cycle) is at least  $c\Delta(G) \log \Delta(G)$  for some constant c. Alon and Zaks [3] proved that determining the acyclic edge chromatic number of an arbitrary graph is an *NP*-complete problem, even determining whether  $\chi'_{a}(G) \leq 3$  for an arbitrary graph G.

For planar graphs, it is proved in [4] that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$  if  $g(G) \geq 5$ . In this paper, we prove that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$  if a planar graph G contains no *i*-cycles,  $4 \leq i \leq 8$ , or

<sup>\*</sup>This work was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(10631070, 60673059). †The corresponding author. E-mail: jlwu@sdu.edu.cn.

any two 3-cycles are not incident with a common vertex, and G contains no *i*-cycles, i = 4 and 5.

## 2 Main Result and its Proof

In the section, we always assume that any graph *G* is planar and is embedded in the plane. We use F(G) to denote the face set of *G*. The degree of a face *f*, denoted by d(f), is the number of edges incident with it, where each cut-edge is counted twice. A  $k(\geq k, \text{ or } \leq k)$ -*face* is a face of degree (at least, or at most) *k*. A  $(i, \leq j)$ -edge  $uv \in E(G)$  is the edge such that d(u) = i and  $d(v) \leq j$ . A  $(i, j, \geq k)$ -face uvw is a 3-face such that d(u) = i, d(v) = j,  $d(w) \geq k$  ( $i \leq j \leq k$ ). For an edge coloring  $\phi$  of *G* and  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $\Phi(v) = \{\phi(uv) | u \in N(v)\}$ .

## Theorem 1.

Let G be a planar graph. Then  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$  if one of the following conditions holds.

- *1. G* contains no *i*-cycles,  $4 \le i \le 8$ .
- 2. Any two 3-cycles are not incident with a common vertex, and G contains no i-cycles, i = 4 and 5.

**Proof.** Let *G* be a minimal counterexample to the theorem. Similar to the proper edge coloring, *G* is 2-connected and  $\delta(G) \ge 2$ . Let  $k = \Delta(G) + 2$  and let *L* be the color set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  for simplicity. First, we shall prove some results.

(a) G does not contain an  $(2, \leq 3)$ -edge.

Suppose that *G* does contain such an  $(2, \leq 3)$ -edge *uv* such that d(u) = 2 and  $d(v) \leq 3$ . Let  $N(u) \setminus \{v\} = u_1$  and G' = G - uv. By the minimality of *G*, *G'* has an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi$  with colors from *L*. If  $\phi(uu_1) \notin \Phi(v)$ , then color *uv* with a color from  $L \setminus (\Phi(v) \cup \{\phi(uu_1)\})$ . Otherwise,  $|\Phi(u_1) \cup \Phi(v)| \leq k - 1$  and so we can color *uv* with a color from  $L \setminus (\Phi(u_1) \cup \Phi(v))$ . As a result, it is at least 3-colored on any cycle containing the edge *uv*. Hence we obtain an acyclic edge coloring of *G* with  $\Delta(G) + 2$  colors, a contradiction.

(b) G does not contain a  $(2,4,\geq 4)$ -face.

Suppose that *G* contains such a  $(2,4, \ge 4)$ -face, say f = uvwu, such that  $d(u) = 2, d(v) = 4, d(w) \ge 4$ . Let G' = G - uv. By the minimality of *G*, *G'* have an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi$  with colors from *L*. If  $\phi(uw) \notin \Phi(v)$ , then color *uv* with a color from  $L \setminus (\Phi(v) \cup \{\phi(uw)\})$ . Otherwise,  $|\Phi(v) \cup \Phi(w)| < k$  and it follows that we can color *uv* with a color from  $L \setminus (\Phi(v) \cup \Phi(w))$ . Hence we obtain an acyclic edge coloring of *G* with  $\Delta(G) + 2$  colors, a contradiction.

(c) G does not contain a  $(3,3,\geq 3)$ -face.

Suppose that *G* contains such a  $(3,3,\geq 3)$ -face, say f = uvwu, such that d(u) = 3, d(v) = 3 and  $d(w) \geq 3$ . Let G'=G-uv,  $N(u)\setminus\{w,v\} = \{u_1\}$  and  $N(v)\setminus\{w,u\} = \{v_1\}$ . By the minimality of *G*, *G'* has an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi$  with colors from *L*. If  $\Phi(u) \cap \Phi(v) = \emptyset$ , then color edge uv with a color from  $L \setminus (\Phi(u) \cup \Phi(v))$ . So assume  $\Phi(u) \cap \Phi(v) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $\phi(uu_1)=\phi(vw)$  or  $\phi(vv_1)=\phi(uw)$ , then  $|\Phi(w) \cup \{\phi(uu_1), \phi(vv_1)\}| \le k-1$  and it follows that we get a color  $i \in L \setminus (\Phi(w) \cup \{\phi(uu_1), \phi(vv_1)\})$  to color uv. Otherwise, we have  $\phi(uu_1)=\phi(vv_1)$ . Without loss of generality, let  $\phi(uu_1)=\phi(vv_1)=1$ ,  $\phi(uw)=2$ ,  $\phi(vw)=3$ . If there is a color  $i \in \{4,5,\cdots k\} \setminus (\Phi(u_1) \cap \Phi(v_1))$ , then color uv with *i*. Otherwise, we have  $\{1,4,5,\cdots,k\} = \Phi(u_1) = \Phi(v_1)$  since  $|\{1,4,5,\cdots k\}| = \Delta(G)$ . Thus we recolor  $uu_1$  with 3,  $vv_1$  with 2, and color uv with 1. Hence we obtain an acyclic edge coloring of *G* with  $\Delta(G) + 2$  colors, a contradiction.

### (d) G does not contain a d-vertex adjacent to at least (d-2) 2-vertex, where $d(v) \ge 4$ .

Suppose that such a *d*-vertex, say *v*, does exists. Let  $N(v) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d\}$ , where  $d(u_i) = 2$  and  $N(u_i) = \{v, w_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d-2$ . By the minimality of *G*,  $G' = G - u_1 v$  has an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi$  with colors from *L*. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\phi(u_iv) = i$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, d$ . If  $\phi(u_1w_1) \notin \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$ , then color  $u_1v$  with a color from  $L \setminus (\{2, 3, \dots, d\} \cup \{\phi(u_1w_1)\})$ . If  $\phi(u_1w_1) \in \{2, 3, \dots, d-2\}$ , without loss of generality, let  $\phi(u_1w_1) = 2$ , then color  $u_1v$  with a color from  $L \setminus (\{2, 3, \dots, d\} \cup \{\phi(u_1w_1)\})$ . So assume that  $\phi(u_1w_1) \in \{d-1, d\}$ . Without loss of generality, let  $\phi(u_1w_1) = d$ . If there is a color  $i \in \{1, d+1, d+2, \dots, k\} \setminus \Phi(w_1)$ , then color  $u_1v$  with color *i*. Otherwise  $\{1, d+1, d+2, \dots, k\} \subseteq \phi(w_1)$ . So recolor  $u_1w_1$  with color *j*, and color  $u_1v$  with a color from  $L \setminus (\{2, 3, \dots, d\} \cup \{\phi(u_jw_j)\})$ . Hence we obtain an acyclic edge coloring of *G* with  $\Delta(G) + 2$  colors, a contradiction.

### (e) G does not contain a 4-vertex adjacent to a 2-vertex and a 3-vertex.

Suppose that there exists a 4-vertex *v* adjacent to a 2-vertex *u* and a 3-vertex *w*. It follows from the above proof that *u*, *v*, *w* are not form a 3-cycle. Let  $N(u) \setminus \{v\} = \{u_1\}$ ,  $N(w) \setminus \{v\} = \{w_1, w_2\}$  and  $N(v) \setminus \{u, w\} = \{x, y\}$ . Then  $u_1 \notin N(v)$  by (*b*). Let G' = G - uv. By the minimality of *G*, *G'* have an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi$  with colors from *L*. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\phi(vw) = 1$ ,  $\phi(vx) = 2$  and  $\phi(vy) = 3$ . If  $\phi(uu_1) \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then color *uv* with a color from  $L \setminus \{1, 2, 3, \phi(uu_1)\}$ . If  $\phi(uu_1) = 1$ , then color *uv* with a color from  $L \setminus \{1, 2, 3, \phi(uu_1), \phi(ww_2)\}$ . If  $\phi(uu_1) \in \{2, 3\}$ , without loss of generality, let  $\phi(uu_1) = 2$ . If there exists a color  $i \in \{4, 5, \dots, k\} \setminus \Phi(u_1)$ , then color *uv* with *i*. Otherwise,  $\{2, 4, 5, \dots, k\} = \Phi(u_1)$  and then we can recolor *uu*<sub>1</sub> with 1, and color *uv* with a color from  $L \setminus \{1, 2, 3, \phi(ww_1), \phi(ww_2)\}$ . Hence we obtain an acyclic edge coloring of *G* with  $\Delta(G) + 2$  colors, a contradiction.

By Euler's formula |V| - |E| + |F| = 2, we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 6) = -6(|V| - |E| + |F|) = -12 < 0.$$
 (1)

Now we define w(x) to be the initial charge function to each  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ . Let w(v) = 2d(v) - 6 for  $v \in V(G)$  and w(f) = d(f) - 6 for  $f \in F(G)$ . In the following, we will reassign a new charge denoted by w'(x) to each  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$  according to the discharging rules. Since our rules only move charges around, and do not affect the sum, we have

$$\sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} w'(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} w(x) < 0.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

If we can show that  $w'(x) \ge 0$  for each  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ , then we obtain a contradiction to (2), completing the proof.

For (I), the discharging rules are defined as follows.

- 1. R1-1: From each  $(\geq 4)$ -vertex to each of its adjacent 2-vertices, transfer 1.
- 2. R1-2: From each ( $\geq 4$ )-vertex *v* to each of its incident 3-faces, transfer  $\frac{w(v)-n_2(v)}{f_3(v)}$ , where  $n_2(v)$  is the number of 2-vertices adjacent to *v*,  $f_3(v)$  is the number of 3-faces incident with *v*.
- 3. R1-3: From each  $(\geq 9)$ -face to each of its adjacent 3-faces, transfer  $\frac{1}{3}$  through each of its incident edges. (Note: If a  $(\geq 9)$ -face and a 3-face are incident with two common edges, then the  $(\geq 9)$ -face transfer  $\frac{1}{3} \times 2$  to the 3-face.)

Let v be a vertex of G. If d(v) = 2, then v is incident with two  $(\ge 4)$ -vertices by (a) and it follows by R1-1 that w'(v) = w(v) + 2 = 0. If d(v) = 3, then w'(v) = w(v) = 0. If  $d(v) \ge 4$ , then  $w'(v) \ge w(v) - n_2(v) - \frac{w(v) - n_2(v)}{f_3(v)} \times f_3(v) = 0$ .

Now assume that  $d(v) \ge 4$  and  $f_3(v) \ge 1$ . Since *G* contains no 4-cycles, any two 3faces are not adjacent. So *v* is incident with at most  $\lfloor \frac{d(v)}{2} \rfloor$  3-faces. By (d), *v* is adjacent to at most (d(v)-3) 2-vertices. If d(v) = 4 and  $n_2(v) = 1$ , then  $f_3(v) = 1$  by (b). So  $\frac{w(v)-n_2(v)}{f_3(v)} = 2 \times 4 - 6 - 1 = 1$ . If d(v) = 4 and  $n_2(v) = 0$ , then  $f_3(v) \le 2$  and it follows that  $\frac{w(v)-n_2(v)}{f_3(v)} \ge \frac{2 \times 4 - 6}{2} = 1$ . If  $d(v) \ge 5$ , then  $\frac{w(v)-n_2(v)}{f_3(v)} \ge \frac{2d(v)-6-(d(v)-3)}{\lfloor \frac{d(v)}{2} \rfloor} \ge 1$ . Hence we always have

$$\frac{w(v) - n_2(v)}{f_3(v)} \ge 1.$$
(3)

Let *f* be a face of *G*. Suppose that d(f) = 3. Then *f* must be a  $(2, \ge 5, \ge 5)$ -face, or a  $(3, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face, or a  $(\ge 4, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face by (b) and (c). If *f* is a  $(\ge 4, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face, then *f* can receive at least 1 from each of its incident 4-vertices by R1-2 and (3). So  $w'(f) \ge w(f) + 1 \times 3 = 0$ . If *f* is a  $(2, \ge 5, \ge 5)$ -face, or a  $(3, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face, then *f* receives at least 1 from each of its incident  $(\ge 4)$ -vertices by R1-2 and (3), and  $\frac{1}{3}$  from each of its adjacent  $(\ge 9)$ -faces through each of its incident edges by R1-3. So  $w'(f) \ge w(f) + 1 \times 2 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = 3 - 6 + 3 = 0$ . If  $d(f) \ge 9$ , then it follows from R1-3 that  $w'(f) \ge w(f) - \frac{1}{3} \times d(f) \ge 0$ .

For (II), the discharging rules are defined as follows.

- 1. R2-1: From each ( $\geq 4$ )-vertex to each of its adjacent 2-vertices, transfer 1.
- 2. R2-2: From each ( $\geq 4$ )-vertex *v* to each of its incident 3-faces, transfer ( $w(v) n_2(v)$ ), where  $n_2(v)$  is the number of 2-vertices adjacent to *v*.

Let *v* be a vertex of *G*. Since any two 3-cycles have no the same vertex in common, *v* is incident with at most one 3-face. If d(v) = 2, then *v* is adjacent to two  $(\ge 4)$ -vertices by (*a*) and it follows by R2-1 that w'(v) = w(v) + 2 = 0. If d(v) = 3, then w'(v) = w(v) = 0. Now assume that  $d(v) \ge 4$ . It follows by R2-2 that  $w'(v) \ge w(v) - n_2(v) - (w(v) - n_2(v)) = 0$ . At the same time, we know that *v* is adjacent to at most (d(v) - 3) 2-vertices by (*d*). If d(v) = 4 and  $n_2(v) = 1$ , then  $w(v) - n_2(v) = 2 \times 4 - 6 - 1 = 1$ . If d(v) = 4 and  $n_2(v) = 0$ , then  $w(v) - n_2(v) = 2$ . If  $d(v) \ge 5$ , then  $(w(v) - n_2(v)) = 2d(v) - 6 - (d(v) - 3) \ge 2$ .

Let *f* be a face of *G*. Suppose that d(f) = 3. Then *f* must be a  $(2, \ge 5, \ge 5)$ -face, or a  $(3, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face, or a  $(\ge 4, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face by (b) and (c). If *f* is a  $(\ge 4, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face, then *f* can receive at least 1 from each of its incident 4-vertices by R2-2. So  $w'(f) \ge w(f) + 1 \times 3 = 0$ . If *f* is a  $(2, \ge 5, \ge 5)$ -face, or a  $(3, \ge 4, \ge 4)$ -face, then *f* receives at least 2 from each of its incident  $(\ge 4)$ -vertices by R2-2 and (e). So  $w'(f) \ge w(f) + 2 \times 2 = 3 - 6 + 4 > 0$ . If  $d(f) \ge 6$ , then  $w'(f) = w(f) \ge 0$ .

Hence we have  $w'(x) \ge 0$  for each  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ , a contradiction with (2).

# References

- N. Alon, C. J. H. McDiarmid and B. A. Reed, Acyclic coloring of graphs, Random Structures Algorithms 2 (1991), 277-288.
- [2] N. Alon, B. Sudakov and A. Zaks, Acyclic edge colorings of graphs, J. Graph Theory 37 (2001), 157-167.
- [3] N. Alon and A. Zaks, Algorithmic aspects of acyclic edge colorings, Algorithmica 32 (2002), 611-614.
- [4] J. F. Hou, J. L. Wu and G. Z. Liu. Acyclic edge colorings of planar graphs and series-paralled. Science in China, 2008, to appear.
- [5] M. Molloy and B. Reed. Further Algorithmic Aspects of the Local Lemma. Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, May 1998, 524-529.